

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,291	COPEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ruth C Rodriguez, PTO.

(3) _____.

 (2) Fred H. Zollinger III, Applicant's representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Zollinger to inquire about the status of the application. An extension of time was received on 26 February 2004 but no reply to the Office action has been filed to date. Mr. Zollinger indicated that the extension of time was filed in order to file a continuation in part application. No reply has been filed for this application..